EK Strategic Communications Center

Russia Watch November 2026

Kremlin FIMI Exploits US Disclosure to Discredit Independent Media and Democratic Movements Worldwide

Routine correspondence recast as proof of clandestine control

Sasha Starost, Egor Kuroptev

ekstrategies.org

EK Strategic Communications Center

EK Stratcom is a nexus of information, policy, and resilience in Eurasia and beyond. We provide expertise in strategic communications, analyze and shape key narratives, and support vulnerable societies.

Drawing on 20+ years of experience in media, GR, and PR, and over 12 years in analysis and strategic communications, EK Stratcom is built around a core team of exiled Russian professionals.

Since the start of Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, EK Stratcom has served as a key mechanism for real-time disinformation monitoring, analytical reporting, and targeted messaging — reaching millions inside Russia and advising Western governments on Kremlin FIMI operations.

ekstrategies.org

About authors



Sasha Starost

Analyst & Briefings Specialist

Political activist and interpreter forced to flee Russia in 2022. Since joining Egor Kuroptev's team in 2022, she has monitored Kremlin narratives in Western and European media, as well as disinformation targeting women and children in Russia.





Egor Kuroptev

Director & Chief Strategist

Russian exiled media manager and political expert with over 20 years of experience in communications, democratic development, and countering disinformation.



Table of contents

Executive Summary 5

Russian Opposition Media Portrayed as Washington's Agents 6

Democratic Movements Recast as Censorship and Regime-Change Operations 7

Rapid Multilingual Dissemination 9

Sources 10

Executive Summary

The U.S. administration's release of internal USAID records gave Russian state media and Kremlin-aligned FIMI actors sensitive information about independent media and civil society organizations. They rapidly used the material to portray democratic movements worldwide as instruments of US control, turning routine correspondence about funding, partnerships and proposed cooperation into allegations of covert censorship, foreign interference and regime-change operations.

The campaign was particularly visible on X, where hundreds of accounts simultaneously promoted sensational and misleading claims about supposedly explosive revelations. Its scale and apparent synchronization suggested amplification extending beyond the Kremlin's established FIMI networks.

> Russian actors nevertheless actively exploited the disclosure to discredit pro-democracy opposition groups and independent journalism.

The accusations also circulated through Telegram and multilingual FIMI networks in English, Italian, German and Spanish.

> Across these audiences, genuine records of institutional cooperation were stripped of context and repackaged as evidence of clandestine political control, exposing USAID's partners to reputational attacks and potential targeting.

The Kremlin's Matryoshka bot network circulated fabricated videos impersonating major Western media, claiming that USAID continued its activities after its closure through foundations linked to the US Democratic Party, funding media and interfering in elections in France and other European countries. The videos also alleged that USAID financed staged incidents involving casualties in Ukraine, collected compromising material on French journalists and funded media and fact-checkers, portraying them as instruments of covert Western interference.

Russian Opposition Media Portrayed as Washington's Agents

The most direct attacks targeted Russian independent media and opposition organizations identified in the disclosed correspondence. Kremlin special envoy Kirill Dmitriev claimed[1] that the White House had instructed Google to finance Russian opposition outlets.

> The underlying correspondence concerned support for media affected by YouTube demonetization and did not establish US government control over their editorial activities.

RT-linked commentator Chay Bowes declared[2] that "a massive anti Russian media influence network, funded by USAID is revealed," alleging "direct funding of 'Opposition' media platforms inside Russia, in Europe and the USA." He demanded: "When will the EU be sanctioning the journalists involved?"

> Pro-Kremlin[3] X commentator Olga Bazova went further, claiming[4] that "all of the below-mentioned 'independent' Russian news outlets were literally working for the US government."

Italian pro-Kremlin commentator Laura Ru described[5] independent Russian media like Dozhd, Meduza, The Insider and opposition actors like Team Navalny, Free Russia Foundation, iStories and Golos as "fake-independent" organizations financed by USAID. Her accusations were republished[6] by Rokot/Frastuono, the Italian-language branch of the multilingual Surf Noise FIMI network. The disclosure thus supplied identifiable targets for accusations that independent journalism and opposition activity were merely instruments of US foreign policy.

Democratic Movements Recast as Censorship and Regime-Change Operations

The narrative quickly expanded beyond Russia. Russian propaganda outlet RT claimed[7] that USAID and the Global Disinformation Index (GDI) had sought to suppress "pro-Russian narratives" in Moldova and influence media environments in Armenia and Zambia. A separate RT article used[8] GDI co-founder Danny Rogers's discussion of factually accurate but potentially misleading migrant-crime reporting to portray disinformation research as ideological censorship. This interpretation was reproduced[9] by the multilingual Pravda (Portal Kombat) FIMI network.

The English-language FIMI outlet Geopolitics Prime amplified[10] claims that USAID had worked to "defund, downrank, and deplatform" media carrying "adversarial narratives," presenting proposals for cooperation with technology companies as evidence of an established censorship apparatus.

On X, Lord Bebo alleged[11] that "USAID and YouTube censored speech in countries like Ukraine" and that "Biden's administration decided who sees what!" before suggesting that USAID had conducted a "pre war consent campaign."

Wall Street Apes X account circulated[12] a long list of media and democracy-support budgets across Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova, Georgia, Serbia and other countries, concluding:

> "This is what USAID was really used for. It wasn't for helping feed the hungry. It was used for regime change and manipulation."

The Kremlin's Matryoshka bot network exploited the "USAID Files" story to promote claims that USAID continues operating

after its closure through foundations linked to the US Democratic Party. As documented[13] by Bot Blocker, the campaign circulated fabricated videos impersonating Reuters, AP, AFP, Bloomberg, BBC, Euronews and other major media outlets. These alleged that successor networks continue financing media and interfering in elections in France, Germany, Spain, Italy, Poland, Moldova, Armenia, Montenegro and Albania.

> The central narrative presented USAID's closure as a formal change that left its supposed covert influence infrastructure intact.

France featured prominently. The fabrications alleged that USAID supported hundreds of foundations, funded French media and fact-checkers, collected compromising material on journalists to influence their reporting, and financed programmes promoting current immigration policies. Another video claimed that USAID funded French groups involved in staging incidents resulting in casualties in Ukraine.

Content targeting Raphaël Glucksmann portrayed him as a USAID- and EU-funded adviser, invoking Mikheil Saakashvili's imprisonment as a warning to politicians accepting such support. Together, these narratives depicted European governments, journalists and civil society as parts of a continuing US-directed interference network, while presenting the Kremlin's political opponents as compromised by their association with it.

These publications repeatedly converted documented assistance, policy discussions and proposed activities into claims of systematic political control, without distinguishing confirmed funding from proposals or demonstrating that the named media organizations followed US editorial instructions.

Rapid Multilingual Dissemination

Within approximately two days, the allegations had moved across Russian state media, English-language X accounts and Italian, German and Spanish-language Telegram channels. German-language Uncut News repackaged[14] USAID funding figures as evidence of foreign influence over media and democratic reform in numerous countries.

> Spanish-language FIMI channel En Plena Luz, reposting PabVis[15], mocked[16] supposedly independent media and think tanks operating in Russia, China and elsewhere, concluding that "until a government takes real measures, there is no real sovereignty."

The Spanish-language FIMI channel Los Sombreros Blancos likewise portrayed[17] the files as exposing a global US censorship and propaganda apparatus.

The release gave Kremlin-aligned actors both identifiable targets and a new basis for discrediting them. By presenting Western funding as proof of political subordination, they sought to erase the distinction between independent journalism, civil society and foreign intelligence operations.

> The broader effect was to make allegations of US control applicable to virtually any opposition movement or democratic initiative receiving international support, while portraying restrictions against such organizations as legitimate protection from foreign interference.

The disclosure of sensitive information made these accusations more credible to audiences, regardless of whether the documents supported them.

Sources

1. https://x.com/kadmitriev/status/2125503930163486980?a=mRcIWeP9QrL7lwNGFxo&s=20
2. https://x.com/BowesChay/status/2125650805357158545?a=gyof49aBKgQ_UPU1E_c&s=20
3. https://checkfirst.network/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Overload%C2%A02_%20Main%20Draft%20Report_compressed.pdf
4. https://x.com/OlgaBazova/status/2125834992546636241?a=wEccUzDDLL-EJMs4vCc&s=20
5. https://t.me/LauraRuHK/11886
6. https://t.me/surf_noise_ita/69190
7. https://rt-english.com/news/649391-usaid-gdi-suppress-russia-narratives/
8. https://rt-english.com/news/649365-usaid-migrant-crime-seminars/
9. https://news-pravda.com/world/2026/11/26/2771910.html
10. https://t.me/geopolitics_prime/78321
11. https://x.com/MyLordBebo/status/2125656953930101133
12. https://x.com/WallStreetApes/status/2125560131173638471
13. https://x.com/antibot4navalny/status/2126085374728687691?a=ft2lAF_4s1uLtOAcMko&s=20
14. https://uncutnews.ch/atemberaubende-summen-aktuelle-veroeffentliche-usaid-unterlagen-zeigen-wie-viel-geld-in-medien-botschaften-und-gegen-narrative-floss/
15. https://t.me/PabVisReal/337
16. https://t.me/enplena_luz/4221
17. https://t.me/ophiucu/112492

More from EK Stratcom

Explore more insights and analysis

Analytical reports on disinformation trends in Russia and Kremlin FIMI campaigns across Europe

ekstrategies.org/insights-and-analysis

Explore our projects

We create strategies to address geopolitical challenges, reach wide audiences, and support resilient communities

ekstrategies.org/projects

Contact us to explore collaboration

We support international organizations and national governments in navigating complex geopolitical realities, analyzing disinformation, developing and implementing communication strategies

partners@ekstrategies.org

Media enquiries

One address for all press requests: comment, interviews, background briefings and data questions

press@ekstrategies.org

Get our analysis by email

A weekly digest of EK Stratcom research: in-depth reports, Kremlin FIMI Weekly, Russia Watch, Kremlin Ratings Tracker, and more

ekstrategies.org/subscribe

Follow us on social media

x.com/EKStratcom
linkedin.com/company/ek-strategic-communications-center

EK Strategic Communications Center

ekstrategies.org